	Case 2:22-cv-02015-CSK	Document 54	Filed 02/08/	24 Page 1 of 5			
1	ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668						
2	Attorney General of California JOANNA B. HOOD, State Bar No. 264078						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PATRICIA M. KEALY, State Bar No. 348201						
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255						
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7366						
6	Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Patricia.Kealy@doj.c	a.gov					
7	Attorneys for Defendant N. Wes						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SACRAMENTO DIVISION						
11							
12	MELVIN PARKER,		2:22-cv-02015	KJN			
13		Plaintiff,		I'S ANSWER TO			
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	v.			S FIRST AMENDED F [ECF NO. 18]; DEMAND 'RIAL			
16	N. WEST,		Judge:	The Honorable Kendall J. Newman			
17		Defendant.	Trial Date:	Not Set November 7, 2022			
18			Tietion Tilea.	1.0.veinoer 7, 2022			
19	ANSWER						
20	Defendant West answers Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") (ECF No. 18)						
21	as follows. Unless stated otherwise, except for those allegations that are expressly admitted,						
22	Defendant denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint.						
23	1. In response to pages 1-2 <sup>1</sup> of the Complaint, "Introduction," Defendant admits that						
24	Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and that on November 7, 2022, Plaintiff						
25	filed his original complaint (ECF No. 1). Defendant further admits that on December 27, 2022,						
26	the Court directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 15 at 4.) Defendant denies						
27	Plaintiff's Complaint is handwritten. All references to page numbers herein refer to the						
28	Complaint as paginated by the Court's Electronic Case Filing System.						

28 ar

- Plaintiff's allegations that he hired inmate Durrell Puckett (G05549) to attack Plaintiff on October 31, 2019. To the extent that Plaintiff's allegations relate to non-parties and claims that have been screened out, Defendant lacks the knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's allegations and, on that basis, denies them.
- 2. In response to pages 3-4 of the Complaint, "Amend Complaint," "Count 1," Defendant admits that on October 31, 2019, Plaintiff was attacked by inmate Puckett while chained to a dayroom chair. However, Defendant denies that he hired inmate Puckett to murder or otherwise cause harm to Plaintiff. To the extent that Plaintiff's allegations relate to non-parties and claims that have been screened out, Defendant lacks the knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's allegations and, on that basis, denies them.
- 3. In response to pages 2-3 of the Complaint, "Amend Complaint," "Count 2,"

  Defendant lacks the knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of

  Plaintiff's allegations regarding non-parties and claims that have been screened out and, on that basis, denies them.
- 4. In response to pages 4-6 of the Complaint, "Amend Complaint," "Count 3,"

  Defendant lacks the knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of

  Plaintiff's allegations regarding non-parties and claims that have been screened out and, on that basis, denies them.
- 5. In response to pages 6-7 of the Complaint, "Amend Complaint," "Count 4,"
  Defendant admits that on October 31, 2019, Plaintiff was attacked by inmate Puckett while chained to a dayroom chair. However, Defendant denies that Plaintiff was murdered, denies paying inmate Puckett to murder or otherwise cause harm to Plaintiff, and denies removing inmate Puckett's restraints to facilitate his attack on Plaintiff. Defendant denies that he violated Plaintiff's Constitutional rights and denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested or to any relief whatsoever. To the extent that Plaintiff's allegations and requests for relief relate to non-parties and claims that have been screened out, Defendant lacks the knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations or legitimacy of the relief requested and, on that basis, denies them.

## 

6. In response to pages 8-13 of the Complaint, Plaintiff's attachments to the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief about the authenticity or content of the attachments and, on that basis, denies them.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant West asserts the following as separate affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint:

- 1. Defendant is entitled to immunity or qualified immunity because no reasonable person in his respective position would believe that his conduct was unlawful and the law was not clearly established that Defendant could be held liable for such conduct.
  - 2. To the extent Plaintiff suffered any damages, he failed to mitigate his damages.
- 3. To the extent Plaintiff suffered damages, his own conduct contributed to those damages.
- 4. The damages sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were fully or partly the fault of others, who are not parties to this lawsuit. The identities of any such individuals may be determined in the course of discovery.
- 5. To the extent that Plaintiff is seeking damages against Defendant in his official capacity, Plaintiff's claims are barred by the Eleventh Amendment.
- 6. To the extent that Plaintiff's damages claims are based on mental or emotional injury, they must be dismissed because Plaintiff cannot show that Defendant's conduct caused him any physical injury, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(e).
- 7. To the extent that Plaintiff has previously litigated the issues raised in the Complaint, and those claims were finally determined, Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of res judicata or collateral estoppel.
- 8. Plaintiff failed to timely and properly exhaust his available administrative remedies before filing the operative Complaint.

Because Plaintiff's Complaint is couched in vague and conclusory terms, Defendant cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this matter. Accordingly, the

## 

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name:	Melvin Parker (AP1330) v. N. West	No.	2:22-cv-02015 KJN
	ify that on <u>February 8, 2024</u> , I electrong the CM/ECF system:	onically fil	ed the following documents with the Clerk of the
	NT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF' FOR JURY TRIAL	S FIRST	AMENDED COMPLAINT [ECF NO. 18];
Participants i	in the case who are registered CM/EC	CF users w	ill be served by the CM/ECF system.
			e of California and the United States of America the secuted on February 8, 2024, at Sacramento,
	T. Farster		/s/ T. Farster
Dec	clarant for eFiling		Signature
Bar at which matter. I am processing of practice, corr	member's direction this service is material familiar with the business practice at a freeze correspondence for mailing with the respondence placed in the internal material that the United States Postal Service w	ade. I am t the Offic t United S ail collection	is the office of a member of the California State 18 years of age or older and not a party to this e of the Attorney General for collection and tates Postal Service. In accordance with that on system at the Office of the Attorney General is a thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary
the foregoing First-Class M	g document(s) have been mailed in th	e Office o hed it to a	not registered CM/ECF users. On <u>February 8, 2024</u> f the Attorney General's internal mail system, by third party commercial carrier for delivery within ipants:
Melvin Parke Kern Valley P.O. Box 510 Delano, CA 9 In Pro Per	State Prison 01		
	1 1 1 1		e of California and the United States of America the ecuted on February 8, 2024, at Sacramento,
	A. Zamora		/s/ A. Zamora
Declarant	for Service by U.S. Mail		Signature
SA2023303229			

SA2023303229 37861614.docx